Global Change Biology (2011) 17, 56–67, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02204.x

On the potential for ocean acidification to be a general cause of ancient reef crises

WOLFGANG KIESSLING and CARL SIMPSON

Museum für Naturkunde, Leibniz Institute for Research on Evolution and Biodiversity at the Humboldt University Berlin, 10115 Berlin, Germany

Abstract

Anthropogenic rise in the carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere leads to global warming and acidification of the oceans. Ocean acidification (OA) is harmful to many organisms but especially to those that build massive skeletons of calcium carbonate, such as reef corals. Here, we test the recent suggestion that OA leads not only to declining calcification of reef corals and reduced growth rates of reefs but may also have been a trigger of ancient reef crises and mass extinctions in the sea. We analyse the fossil record of biogenic reefs and marine organisms to (1) assess the timing and intensity of ancient reef crises, (2) check which reef crises were concurrent with inferred pulses of carbon dioxide concentrations and (3) evaluate the correlation between reef crises and mass extinctions and their selectivity in terms of inferred physiological buffering. We conclude that four of five global metazoan reef crises in the last 500 Myr were probably at least partially governed by OA and rapid global warming. However, only two of the big five mass extinctions show geological evidence of OA.

Keywords: climate change, mass extinctions, ocean acidification, Phanerozoic, reefs

Received 18 November 2009; revised version received 4 February 2010 and accepted 5 February 2010

Introduction

In conjunction with climate change, ocean acidification (OA) is perhaps the most severe threat to marine ecosystems acting at global scales (Orr et al., 2005; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Anthony et al., 2008; De'ath et al., 2009). OA, the reduction of the pH of the ocean by increasing pCO_{2} , appears to be most hazardous in ecosystems that hold a large proportion of calcifying organisms, although the response of calcification to ambient pH is quite variable and the exact mechanisms of how physiology is affected by pH are still poorly understood (Atkinson & Cuet, 2008; Fabry et al., 2008; Jokiel et al., 2008; Marubini et al., 2008; Pörtner, 2008; Ries et al., 2009). These obstacles aside, empirical evidence does suggest that coral reefs are especially affected by OA because the major reef builders, scleractinian corals and coralline red algae, respond readily by reduced growth rates, abundance and increased postmortem dissolution (Anthony et al., 2008; Kuffner et al., 2008; Silverman et al., 2009).

The current anthropogenic rise in pCO_2 is dramatic (IPCC, 2007) but perhaps not unprecedented in the geologic record. The best evidence for an ancient acidification event comes from the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum (ca. 55 Ma), when a massive injection of isotopically light carbon to the atmosphere and oceans coincided with a reduced saturation state of seawater with respect to calcium carbonate (Zachos et al., 2005) and with extinctions and evolutionary turnover in marine protists (Gibbs et al., 2006; Thomas, 2007). More circumstantial evidence for substantial massive increases in pCO_2 also exists for much older times, some of which were linked to mass extinctions in the sea (Knoll et al., 1996, 2007; Hautmann, 2004). Several mechanisms for rapid and massive rises of pCO_2 have been proposed for prehistoric times. These include (1) direct volcanic outgassing (Berner & Beerling, 2007), (2) dissociation of methane hydrates from seafloor sediments (Dickens et al., 1995), (3) methane buildup and release from intrusion of magma into organic-rich sediments (Svensen et al., 2004), (4) oxidation of large amounts of sedimentary organic carbon (Higgins & Schrag, 2006) and (5) the buildup of CO_2 in anoxic deep water and its rapid release by oceanic turnover (Knoll et al., 1996).

Our study was motivated by Veron (2008), who suggested that ancient OA would not only have led to reduced growth rates of reef builders (leading to reef crises) but also to increased extinction rates, to a degree that all major mass extinctions (except for the Late Devonian) might be caused by OA, at least partially. We split this interesting idea into two testable hypotheses: (1) reef crises are generally caused by OA and (2) mass extinctions were associated with OA. We separate

Correspondence: W. Kiessling, tel. + 49 30 2093 8576, fax + 49 30 2093 8868, e-mail: wolfgang.kiessling@mfn-berlin.de

these hypotheses because it remains to be demonstrated that reef crises coincided generally with mass extinctions. The current coral reef crisis is defined by declines in reefal carbonate production rather than extinction (Pandolfi *et al.*, 2003; Bellwood *et al.*, 2004). This definition can be equally applied to ancient reefs (Flügel & Kiessling, 2002; Kiessling, 2009). Although an extreme reef crisis will likely be associated with extinctions at least of reef-building species, the rank-order distribution of extinction intensities and reef crises is different (Flügel & Kiessling, 2002) and low-diversity reefs can maintain high carbonate production values (Johnson *et al.*, 2008) suggesting that reef crises and the extinction of reef species are potentially decoupled.

If OA played a significant role in ancient reef crises, these crises – here defined by global declines in actively produced reefal carbonate volume per unit time – should occur when geological evidence suggests rapidly rising pCO_2 levels and declining pH (Fig. 1a). If OA was involved in ancient mass extinction episodes we would also expect a temporal match of biodiversity loss and extinction intensity and in addition a preferential loss of sensitive taxa (Fig. 1b and c). Sensitive taxa would be those that invest strongly in the production of calcium carbonate skeletons and/or are physiologically unbuffered. Finally, if the response of organisms is by reduced

population sizes rather than extinction, fossil preservation should be degrade in the aftermath of OA.

These conditions set the stage for our analysis of the fossil record of reefs and marine organisms. We first assess the significance of ancient reef crises and look at their correspondence with inferred atmospheric chemistry. We then test if significant reef crises coincided with major mass extinction episodes and finally we assess the selectivity of extinctions. We emphasize acute events rather than long-term relationships between inferred saturation levels of seawater with respect to calcium carbonate and reef development. Although interesting correspondences have been identified on longer time scales (Riding & Liang, 2005), the impact of CO₂ on oceanic pH and supersaturation with respect to calcium carbonate is much less constrained on time scales of more than 10⁵ years (Sundquist, 1985; Caldeira & Wickett, 2003). Moreover, acute events seem to have a stronger impact on the trajectories of skeletal mineralogy than long-term changes in ocean chemistry (Kiessling et al., 2008).

We focus on metazoan reefs, because those are best comparable with our modern coral reefs. In theory, we would expect microbial reefs to be especially sensitive to OA, because microbes precipitate calcium carbonate in direct contact with seawater without enzymatic control (Riding, 2000) and thus have no potential to buffer



Fig. 1 Hypothetical scenarios of the biological response to acute ocean acidification (OA) events on geological time scales. Oceanic pH is constant and exhibits a geologically brief negative excursion in all panels. (a) Response of reef production (generated global reef volume per unit time). Reef production is generally volatile and declines rapidly after OA. Recovery is slow. (b) Biodiversity response is rapid (with some lag) for sensitive groups, whereas insensitive groups show no or negligible response. Recovery of sensitive groups is moderately slow. (c) Extinction rate of sensitive groups increases immediately during OA, while insensitive groups are not affected, or affected with some temporal lag due to cascade effects (extinction of important sensitive taxa on which they depend). (d) Preservation of sensitive groups declines rapidly after OA and also recovers rapidly.

against chemical insult. However, microbial precipitation should rapidly rebound as soon as seawater saturation levels are sufficient for calcium carbonate precipitation. Because our temporal resolution is limited, transient breaks in microbial carbonate precipitation are unlikely to be observed. It is therefore preferable to rely on more complex organisms to seek potential relationships between OA and reef crises.

Materials and methods

The PaleoReefs Database (PARED) was used to assess global reef volume through time and to define reef crises. PARED compiles the geological and paleontological data of Phanerozoic reef sites (Kiessling et al., 1999; Kiessling, 2001; Kiessling & Flügel, 2002), of which 4000 are currently recorded. We calculated the preserved volume of each reef site applying previous methods (Kiessling et al., 2000). We also assessed the volumetric contribution of major taxonomic groups to reef construction by considering the two most prolific reef building groups within each reef complex. Reef-building groups in PARED are defined at the supra-ordinal level (Kiessling, 2005). We assigned a weight of 70% to the dominant group and 30% to the secondary group. These numbers are based on personal observations in Jurassic and Pleistocene reefs (W.K.) where the dominant reef-building group usually contributes 60-80% to reef construction. If secondary reef builders contribute <10% to reef construction, their contribution was ignored. We analysed total reef volume (irrespective of reef builders), reef volume constructed by nonmicrobial organisms, reef volume built by metazoans and reef volume constructed by corals and hypercalcifying sponges. The latter three categories yield much lower estimates than the total, not only because they are subcategories but also because they require information on which higher taxon built the reef. The sum of volumes per stratigraphic interval (geological stage) was then compiled to achieve an estimate of global reef volume (V) per interval (i). After normalizing for the duration of intervals the changes in volumes were calculated by

$$\mathrm{d}V_i = \ln(V_i/V_{i-1}). \tag{1}$$

This equation is commonly applied in financial mathematics where it is known as log-return. Using logged ratios instead of proportional changes (= simple returns) as sometimes used to assess changes in biodiversity (Bambach *et al.*, 2004) has the advantage of making gains and losses potentially symmetrical around zero. Global reef crises are here defined by significant negative outliers in boxplots, that is, they are more than 1.5 times below the interquartile range of all dV values. For simplicity, we use the term change instead of log-return below.

Extinction intensity and extinction selectivity were assessed with the Paleobiology Database (PaleoDB; http://paleodb. org). In addition to providing a comprehensive compilation of fossil taxa, the PaleoDB allows for an assessment of abundance data and sampling completeness. The latter is important to assess the taphonomic signal of reef crises and to achieve unbiased rate estimates. Data were downloaded on October 20, 2009 using all 478 971 occurrences from the marine invertebrate working group except for vertebrates and doubtfully identified genera, that is, those genera listed in quotation marks, or qualified as '?', 'cf.' or 'aff.'. Data were filtered to include only marine invertebrate genera classified to a higher taxon (family, order and/or class), and genus occurrences assignable to one of 77 geological stages. This left 400 658 taxonomic occurrences. Extinction rates are calculated by

$$E = -\ln[N_{\rm bt}/(N_{\rm bL} + N_{\rm bt})],$$
 (2)

where N_{bt} denotes the number of taxa crossing both the bottom and top boundaries of an interval and N_{bL} is the number of taxa crossing the bottom interval but having its last appearance within it (Foote, 2000). To achieve a comparable metric for diversity crises as for reef crises we also used log-return of boundary-crossing taxa in the following way:

$$dD_i = ln[(N_{bL} + N_{bt})_i / (N_{bL} + N_{bt})_{i-1}].$$
 (3)

The selectivity of extinctions was analysed based on Akaike's modified information criterion (AIC). AIC is a model selection metric derived from information theory that measures the relative support of a preselected set of models, with respect to data. Increasing the number of model parameters (K) generally increases support [log(L)], so AIC compensates for this by penalizing models by their complexity. We compare two types of models here: in the first we measure the extinction rates of inferred sensitive and buffered genera separately. In the second model, we measure a single extinction rate for all genera. We select a model if its Akaike weight is 0.89 or above (Wagner *et al.*, 2006).

We tested two scenarios of extinction selectivity. First, we contrasted calcified sponges and stony corals with all other organisms. Corals and sponges are the dominant metazoan reef builders over Phanerozoic times (Kiessling, 2009) and they have an especially high skeleton/biomass ratio, that is, they invest strongly in the secretion of calcium carbonate.

Second, we tried to contrast groups that readily respond to lowered pH or hypercapnia from those that are less sensitive. This proved to be very difficult, because some sort of sensitivity exists in virtually all higher taxa (Pörtner et al., 2005; Fabry et al., 2008; Ries et al., 2009) and is manifested not only in reduced calcification rates but also in declining rates of reproduction (Fabry et al., 2008; Doney et al., 2009) and changes in metabolism (Michaelidis et al., 2007; Wood et al., 2008). This makes it difficult to separate buffered from unbuffered organisms at supraspecific levels and thus to assess sensitivity for extinct organisms. Moreover, physiological sensitivity is not the same as elevated extinction risk (Widdicombe & Spicer, 2008). For example, some corals may respond to OA by losing their skeleton but are able to survive and recover from decalcification (Fine & Tchernov, 2007). On the other hand, an ophiurid species has been shown to increase calcification with lowered pH but at a metabolic cost (Wood et al., 2008) that may increase extinction risk.

Without reliable data on the sensitivity of ancient species to OA, we tentatively use a modified categorization of previous authors to separate physiologically unbuffered from buffered organisms (Knoll *et al.*, 1996, 2007; Bambach *et al.*, 2002). These authors classified as unbuffered those higher animal taxa that secrete calcium carbonate skeletons or shells, lack gills, and

Higher taxon	Remarks
Calcareous algae	Demonstrated decline in calcification and competitive ability (Jokiel et al., 2008; Kuffner et al., 2008)
Calcareous foraminifers	Demonstrated decrease of shell mass, perhaps more important for nonsymbiotic species
	(Fabry <i>et al.</i> , 2008). Active maintenance of pH at calcification sites increase metabolic costs
	at low pH (de Nooijer <i>et al.,</i> 2009)
Hypercalcified sponges*	Probably no physiological buffering. Survival perhaps possible without calcareous skeletons. No experimental data
Corals	Demonstrated sensitivity of growth rates for tropical reef corals (Marubini <i>et al.,</i> 2008). Equivocal data for cool water (Rodolfo-Metalpa <i>et al.,</i> 2010) and cold water corals (Maier <i>et al.,</i> 2009)
Calcareous brachiopods	Limited buffering capacity and heavy carbonate load (Knoll et al., 2007). No experimental data
Calcifying bryozoans	Claimed sensitive (Knoll et al., 2007; Smith, 2009). No experimental data
Pelmatozoans	Crinoids and blastoids considered to be unbuffered (Knoll et al., 2007). No experimental data

Table 1 Delineation of higher taxa that should be especially sensitive to hypercapnia and ocean acidification in terms of growth rate and extinction risk (modified from Knoll *et al.*, 2007)

*Calcarea, coralline demosponges and stromatoporoids.

have weak internal circulation and low metabolic rates. We follow this definition in a slightly modified and complemented version as presented in Table 1. We contrasted unbuffered organisms with all other organisms. Although other combinations are possible (e.g., a threefold partitioning or contrasting unbuffered and moderately buffered with well buffered organisms), these would strongly limit sample sizes and consequently statistical power.

Besides extinction, a realistic consequence of OA could be the degradation of the fossil record of those groups that respond ecologically by reducing their abundance or skeletons but manage to survive. A decline of fossil preservation in the aftermath of mass extinctions has long been observed. Many surviving taxa are not recorded in its immediate aftermath but reappear much later in the fossil record. This Lazarus effect (Jablonski, 1986) was originally ascribed to sampling bias, but is now regarded as a genuine decline of population sizes (Wignall & Benton, 1999). We have assessed preservation by measuring the completeness of the fossil record for each group and time interval. This is done by calculating the number of sampled genera divided by the number of sampling opportunities, that is, genera that occur before and after the focal interval. Range endpoints were excluded from this metric (Foote & Miller, 2007). Again, AIC was used to judge if preservation differed significantly between groups.

Because sampling gaps, edge-effects, and the Signor–Lipps effect (the backward smearing of last appearances) may strongly bias extinction rates, we applied the metrics developed by Alroy (2008) to adjust for these biases. In brief, extinction rates are measured by taking notion only of genera that are sampled in two or three of three consecutive time intervals. These are two-timers (tt), three-timers (tt) and part-timers (ptm). A two-timer is a genus sampled in two consecutive intervals, before and in the focal interval; tht denotes genera sampled in three consecutive intervals; and ptm are genera sampled in the first and third interval but not in the focal interval. Two-timer extinction rates (Et) are calculated by

$$Et = \log(tt/tht). \tag{4}$$

© 2010 Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Global Change Biology, 17, 56-67

Preservation (P) for each time interval is estimated by

$$P = tht/(tht + ptm).$$
(5)

A corrected estimate of Et (= Et') in a time interval i can be achieved by

$$Et_i' = Et_i + \log(P_{i+1}).$$
 (6)

The temporal resolution of our analyses is limited by the data. We have resolved the data as finely as possible, but stratigraphic correlations are still problematic at global scales, especially in the Palaeozoic era. Therefore, our resolution is coarser for the Palaeozoic than later when international stage names have been long established. We were able to parse the reef record into 83 stages with a mean of 6.5 Myr duration (see supporting information Table S1), whereas extinction rates had to be analysed on a somewhat coarser subset of 77 stages (7.0 Myr mean duration), mostly because the recent updates to the Ordovician time scale (Bergström et al., 2009) are not vet implemented in the PaleoDB. The finer temporal resolution for reefs is critical because OA may only briefly interrupt reef building, whereas the consequences of extinctions are longerlasting. The binning of data into temporal intervals and the way these data are analysed [Eqns (1)-(6)] are responsible for the fact that extinction rates are observed to rise in the interval before a deleterious event, whereas reef volume, biodiversity, and preservation decline in the interval after the event (Fig. 1). The interval after the event is called the crisis interval.

Owing to the great volatility of the Cambrian both in terms of reef growth and extinction rates, we excluded this period from all analyses. Owing to the absence of finely resolved trajectories of pCO_2 and pH through geologic time, we can only use anecdotal studies and semiquantitative proxies to infer changes at critical events. Appropriate references are cited in 'Discussion'.

Results

The geological record of reef volume that is generated per interval of time is generally very volatile (Fig. 2),



Fig. 2 Changes (log-return) of global metazoan reef volume as recorded in The PaleoReefs Database (PARED). Significant reef crises are highlighted by black dots and the boundary of the precrisis is indicated by a dashed line. Note that all values below zero indicate loss relative to the former interval. The grey box delineates background fluctuations. Arrow indicates that the true value is below the plot margins after the Permian–Triassic boundary (log-return = minus infinity). The five numbers in brackets indicate the big five Phanerozoic reef crises that are emphasized in the discussion. [1] Late Devonian; [2] Permian–Triassic; [3] Triassic–Jurassic; [4] Early Jurassic; [5] Paleocene–Eocene. O, Ordovician; S, Silurian; D, Devonian; C, Carboniferous; P, Permian; Tr, Triassic; J, Jurassic; K, Cretaceous; Pg, Paleogene; N, Neogene.

which is in accordance with previous studies on the geologic record of reefs (Kiessling et al., 2000; Kiessling, 2006, 2008). Therefore, only the most extreme depressions are identified as significant reef crises. The major post-Cambrian metazoan reef crises are identified as follows: (1) Late Devonian, Famennian stage, after the boundary of the Frasnian and Famennian stages (374.5 Ma), (2) Early Triassic, Induan and Olenekian stages, after the Permian-Triassic boundary (251 Ma), (3) earliest Jurassic, Hettangian stage, after the Triassic-Jurassic boundary (199.6 Ma), (4) Early Jurassic, Toarcian stage, at the boundary of the Pliensbachian and Toarcian stages (183 Ma) and (5) early Eocene, Ypresian stage, after the Paleocene-Eocene boundary (55.8 Ma). The importance of the early Eocene crisis has not been recognized before, because a previous analysis at the Phanerozoic scale considered total reef volume rather than only metazoan volume (Flügel & Kiessling, 2002). The loss of coral reef volume across the Paleocene-Eocene boundary was compensated by larger foraminifers building reefs in the early Eocene (Plaziat & Perrin, 1992). Crises are for all reefs, nonmicrobial reefs and coral-sponge reefs are indicated in the Supplementary Information (see Fig. S1).

Significant marine biodiversity crises (Fig. 3) follow the traditional big five mass extinctions (Raup & Sepkoski, 1982): the end-Ordovician, Late Devonian, end-Permian, end-Triassic and end-Cretaceous. An additional significant crisis is evident after the Cambro–



Fig. 3 Changes (log-return) of marine animal genus diversity recorded in the PaleoDB. The traditional big five mass extinctions (Raup & Sepkoski, 1982) are clearly discernible. [1] Late Ordovician; [2] Late Devonian; [3] Permian–Triassic; [4] Triassic–Jurassic; [5] Cretaceous–Paleogene. An additional mass depletion of diversity occurred at the Cambrian–Ordovician boundary. See Fig. 2 for additional explanations.

Ordovician boundary, which represents a large extinction event even when standardized for sampling (Alroy, 2008). Although not all biodiversity crises are solely driven by elevated extinction rates (Bambach *et al.*, 2004; Alroy, 2008), we show here that our method of delineating significant crises is appropriate. This is important because we cannot separate growth and destruction rates in reefs the way we can separate origination and extinction rates of genera. We further show that the major post-Cambrian diversity crises that are seen in analyses of Sepkoski's (2002) compendium (Bambach *et al.*, 2004) can be replicated with the data in PaleoDB.

Extinction rates of inferred sensitive and insensitive groups are shown in Fig. 4. Four of the five significant metazoan reef crises were preceded by elevated extinction rates of corals and sponges (Fig. 4a) but only three mass extinctions are also characterized by a preferential extinction of corals and sponges relative to other groups: the Late Devonian, the end-Triassic and the Early Jurassic. Preferential extinctions of coral and sponges occur in two consecutive stages before the events in the Late Devonian and end-Triassic. During the major mass extinctions at the end of the Ordovician, Permian and Cretaceous periods corals and coralline sponges have indistinguishable extinction rates from other taxa. The Early Jurassic reef crisis is preceded by elevated extinction rates of corals and sponges (especially corals in this case), but no extinction pulse or extinction selectivity immediately predated the early Eocene reef crisis.

The extinction pattern is slightly different for unbuffered vs. buffered taxa (Fig. 4b). Extinction in the end-Permian mass extinction, while high in all groups, is much more severe for unbuffered groups (see also Knoll



Fig. 4 Raw extinction rates of marine animal genera in the PaleoDB, grouped by taxonomy and inferred physiological buffering capacity. (a) Stony corals and coralline (hypercalcifying) sponges vs. all other organisms. (b) Physiologically unbuffered organisms vs. all others. Thick lines indicate extinction rates in the inferred sensitive groups (a, corals and sponges; b, physiologically unbuffered organisms). Solid black circles delineate times when extinction in sensitive groups is significantly higher than in the nonsensitive groups, and open circles designate times when insensitive groups were more affected. Grey vertical bars designate time intervals of metazoan reef crises (Fig. 2).

et al., 2007). Significant differences in extinction rates are maintained in the Devonian, end-Triassic and Early Jurassic extinctions, whereas the end-Ordovician mass extinction remains unselective. An interesting dichotomy is observed in the end-Cretaceous mass extinction: unbuffered organisms were significantly less affected than others. This pattern holds even if moderately buffered taxa such as epifaunal bivalves and echinoids are removed from the analyses.

Fossil preservation (Fig. 5) closely follows the prediction that OA-driven reef crises should coincide with a degradation of the fossil record of surviving lineages. All reef crises are accompanied by the reduced preservation of all genera that are inferred to be present, but the degradation of the fossil record is especially strong for unbuffered taxa. The only exception is in the Late Devonian. The pattern is less pronounced for corals and coralline sponges, where only the Early Triassic depression in sampling strictly meets the expectations (Fig. S2). However, the reversed pattern of greater sampling probability of corals and sponges is never associated with reef crises, but is often found when reefs were common such as in the Late Triassic and Late Jurassic.



Fig. 5 Preservation (sampling completeness) of physiologically unbuffered organisms vs. others. Circles indicate times when preservation was significantly different between groups: solid circles, preservation in unbuffered group is significantly lower than in buffered group; open circles, preservation in buffered group is lower. Grey vertical bars designate time intervals of metazoan reef crises (Fig. 2).



Fig. 6 Three-timer estimates of extinction rates in inferred unbuffered and other organisms corrected for preservation bias. See Fig. 4 for additional explanations.

The decline of fossil preservation in the aftermath of mass extinctions might indicate that estimated extinction rates are exaggerated in the raw data, because many survivors that survived a mass extinction but became extinct in the aftermath may not be recorded. Using Eqns (4)–(6), we therefore constructed corrected curves for extinction rates (Fig. 6). As the three-timer rates are based on only those genera that are unsampled in intervals following the focus interval, rates are often much higher than in the raw data (Fig. 4b), but the correction for sampling allows for an estimate of extinction rates that are unaffected by edge effects and sampling gaps [Eqn (6)]. The most important difference to the raw data is (1) the shift of the Devonian extinction peak of unbuffered taxa from the Middle Devonian to the end of the Devonian, (2) the decline of intensity and lack of significant selectivity in the end-Permian mass extinction and Early Jurassic reef crisis, (3) the large increase in unbuffered extinction rates at the end-Triassic mass extinction and (4) the novel selectivity in the modest end-Paleocene extinctions.

Discussion

Reef crises and OA

The Late Devonian reef crisis has long been known and is usually attributed to the Kellwasser events (Buggisch, 1991), which are characterized by marine anoxia reaching shelf settings and strong positive carbon isotope excursions indicating enhanced burial or organic carbon and perhaps a drawdown of atmospheric CO₂ (Joachimski & Buggisch, 1993). An appealing model has been forwarded by Algeo & Scheckler (1998) who linked the reef crisis to the evolution of land plants, because the first forests developed in the Late Devonian. Tree roots might have mobilized nutrients on land that were transported into the sea where they led to massive algal blooms, carbon burial and mass kill of reefs. Although models of increased volcanism and sudden methane release have been evoked for the Late Devonian crisis (Gharaie et al., 2007), evidence for OA is meagre (Veron, 2008).

Many models have been proposed for the Permian extinctions and in particular the end-Permian mass extinction. There is ample evidence for a sharp rise in pCO_2 near the Permian-Triassic boundary, which comes from two sources: geological evidence indicates massive volcanism (Reichow et al., 2009) and sharp and pronounced negative excursions in δ^{13} C suggest repeated pulses of CO₂ release (Payne & Kump, 2007). Palaeontological evidence supports hypercapnia at least as a partial kill mechanism (Knoll et al., 1996, 2007). Our analyses support these contentions inasmuch as the most profound post-Cambrian reef crisis occurred after the Permian-Triassic boundary, extinctions were highly selective against unbuffered organisms, and there was a pronounced depression in the preservation of unbuffered organisms in the Early Triassic. A problem with an OA scenario is the relatively modest extinction of coralline sponges (Weidlich et al., 2003), which is responsible for the absence of a significant difference in the coral-sponge vs. other extinction rates (Fig. 4a). However, skeletal characters in coralline sponges are considered homoplastic (Alvarez et al., 2000; Wörheide, 2008) and some of the Triassic sponge genera may result from convergent evolution rather than representing true survivors.

The reef crisis following the Triassic–Jurassic boundary is well known to be associated with massive volcanism (Marzoli *et al.*, 1999, 2004; Cohen & Coe, 2007). A pronounced increase of pCO_2 has been inferred from decreasing densities of leaf stomata (McElwain *et al.*, 1999) and the carbon isotope composition of soils (Beerling, 2002). As this rise occurred over a geologically brief interval of time, OA is a feasible mechanism for this reef crisis, although claims that OA led to an undersaturation of the oceans with respect to calcium carbonate (Hautmann, 2004) are probably exaggerated (Berner & Beerling, 2007). The earliest Jurassic reef crisis fits most of the hypothetical scenarios shown in Fig. 1. The reef crisis was massive, the end-Triassic mass extinction was highly selective against hypercalcifying sponges and corals and physiologically unbuffered taxa, and the preservation of unbuffered genera dropped markedly in the earliest Jurassic.

The Early Jurassic reef crisis hit reefs in the midst of recovery from the end-Triassic mass extinction. Massive volcanism, global warming, a rise in pCO_2 , and anoxia were reported from this interval (Beerling & Brentnall, 2007; Svensen *et al.*, 2007). Although not very pronounced for all organisms, extinctions were highly selective against unbuffered organisms in general and corals in particular. OA was likely involved in this event (Hermoso *et al.*, 2009).

The Paleocene-Eocene boundary is probably the time for which OA is best documented (Zachos et al., 2005). OA is manifested directly by a shallowing of the calcium carbonate compensation depth in the deep ocean, but substantial effects on shallow water biota have also been suggested (Gibbs et al., 2006; Stoll et al., 2007). Not all effects were negative. For example, the productivity of oceanic plankton seems to have increased (Stoll et al., 2007). In any case, the observation that the Paleocene-Eocene OA coincides with one of the five most substantial metazoan reef crises in the last 500 Myr does suggest a cause-effect relationship of some kind. Extinctions of corals and other unbuffered organisms were modest according to the raw data (Fig. 4), but the corrected rates are significantly elevated for unbuffered organisms, reaching almost the values of the end-Cretaceous mass extinction.

In summary, except for the Late Devonian crisis, all post-Ordovician reef crises were likely associated with OA. We cannot determine whether the magnitude of the crises is correlated with the mass of CO₂ released to the atmosphere and ocean and its timing. This is related to the difficulty of constraining the source of CO2. Carbon isotope excursions are usually so negative, that methane dissociation (yielding isotopically light carbon) rather than direct volcanic outgassing is evoked to explain the pattern (e.g., Dickens et al., 1995; Hesselbo et al., 2000). A methane source of the CO₂ would require much less gas to explain the isotope excursion than isotopically heavier sources, to a degree that the climatic and OA effects are not explainable (Zachos et al., 2005; Panchuk et al., 2008). Similarly, the OA effect critically depends on the timing of CO_2 release, which is often poorly constrained.

Mass extinctions and OA

Two mass extinctions do not represent metazoan reef crises but substantial biodiversity crises: The late Ordovician and the end-Cretaceous mass extinctions. The late Ordovician mass extinction is the one for which climate cooling is best documented as a potential trigger (Sheehan, 2001). Although it usually shows up as a single event in Phanerozoic-scale analyses (including ours), there were indeed two extinction pulses (Brenchley et al., 1995) separated by about 1 Myr. The first extinction pulse occurred at the onset of glaciation near the base of the Hirnantian stage and the second hit during rapid global warming in the middle of this stage, near the end of the Ordovician. The warming event might have been associated with OA and the lack of selectivity in our analyses might be due to the different response of groups during each event. However, the modest decline in carbon isotope ratios (Brenchley et al., 2003) and relatively well-developed reefs in the latest Ordovician (Copper, 2001) suggest that OA was not involved in the extinctions.

The end-Cretaceous was the one event where extinctions on land were as disastrous as in the seas. The extinction of dinosaurs, ammonites and rudists (bivalves with coral-like growth forms) has long been attributed to a bolide impact (Alvarez et al., 1980). Evidence for an impact and its temporal link to the mass extinction is now extraordinarily strong (Schulte et al., 2010). Although volcanism in India is roughly contemporaneous with the mass extinction (Chenet et al., 2009), our results render it unlikely that volcanism contributed to the mass extinction at least with the OA effects that are usually associated with massive eruptions. There was no notable reef crisis (Fig. 2), extinction of corals was modest (Baron-Szabo, 2008), and there was a preferential extinction of physiologically buffered, but metabolically more active organisms (Figs 4b and 6) suggesting that starvation rather than OA was a proximate trigger of the extinctions (Aberhan et al., 2007). Moreover, deep water corals, which should be affected by OA as strongly as shallow tropical corals (Veron, 2008), preferentially survived the end-Cretaceous mass extinction (Kiessling & Baron-Szabo, 2004). The profound extinctions of calcareous plankton such as coccolithophores and planktonic foraminifers (Smit & Hertogen, 1980; Pospichal, 1994) might at first glance suggest OA, perhaps due to acid rain caused by the impact (Macdougall, 1988). However, this selectivity is rather an epiphenomenon of life history traits such as the lack of ability to form cysts under acute stress (Bown, 2005; D'Hondt, 2005) than a result of OA.

OA without pronounced effects?

How about possible OA events that neither caused reef crises nor mass extinctions? The best candidates are in the Cretaceous period, in which several ocean anoxic

events (OAEs) are known. OAEs were short-lived episodes of organic carbon burial and pronounced carbon isotope excursions. The two most prominent OAEs occurred in the early Aptian (120.5 Ma) and at the Cenomanian-Turonian boundary (93.5 Ma) (Leckie et al., 2002). The early Aptian OAE was probably associated with submarine volcanism (Tarduno et al., 1991) and massive methane release from oceanic sediments (Jahren et al., 2001), which, just like the Early Jurassic OAE, may have surpassed the release at the Paleocene-Eocene boundary (Beerling et al., 2002). That not even a minor reef crisis can be observed in the Aptian stage (Fig. 2) might be due to our limited temporal resolution. However, it is also possible that the methane release was rapidly sequestered into organic carbon (Beerling et al., 2002) thus leaving no effect on the shallow marine benthos. The Cenomanian-Turonian OAE was associated with a minor metazoan reef crisis but it is unlikely to be associated with methane release and OA because there was no negative carbon isotope excursion. It rather represents a highproductivity event driven by warming that led to an accelerated hydrological cycling (Scopelliti et al., 2004). Another important OAE occurred in the Valanginian stage (ca. 132 Ma) and was associated with volcanism, positive carbon isotope excursions and a biocalcification crisis in marine plankton (Erba et al., 2004) but neither were reefs affected nor was there a notable extinction.

Global warming vs. OA

Several authors have emphasized that global warming and OA act in concert to cause severe damage to coral reefs and other ecosystems (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Pörtner, 2008). One possibility to separate the effects could lie in geographic and environmental patterns. OA might preferentially affect those regions where the ocean is already less saturated with respect to calcium carbonate. These are high latitude and deeper water areas were corals are considered especially vulnerable to OA (Roberts et al., 2006; Turley et al., 2007). On the other hand, deep water corals might be adapted to low saturation levels and thus less sensitive to drops in pH or hypercapnia. The few experimental data at hand suggest that the azooxanthellate coral Lophelia pertusa is sensitive (Maier et al., 2009), whereas the Mediterranean coral Cladocora caespitosa is not (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2010).

In any case, the geographic patterns of at least two reef crises suggest that global warming was probably the dominant trigger: the Triassic–Jurassic and the Paleocene–Eocene. In the end-Triassic mass extinction, tropical taxa were significantly more affected than nontropical taxa (Kiessling & Aberhan, 2007) and earliest Jurassic reefs and reef corals were concentrated in

64 W. KIESSLING & C. SIMPSON

Time	Reef crisis	Biotic change	Evidence for OA
Late Ordovician (445.6–443.7 Ma)	Not evident	Mass depletion of biodiversity during double mass extinction. Unselective with respect to buffering	None
Late Devonian (374.5 Ma)	Mostly metazoan (especially corals and sponges)	Mass depletion of biodiversity. Selective extinction of corals and sponges over prolonged period of time	Weak
Middle–Late Permian (260.4 Ma)	Coral-sponge reef crisis only	Substantial extinction, weakly selective with respect to buffering	None
Permian–Triassic (251 Ma)	Massive for all reef types	Mass depletion of biodiversity and mass extinction, especially for unbuffered organisms	Strong
Triassic–Jurassic (199.6 Ma)	Massive for all reef types	Mass depletion of biodiversity and mass extinction, selective against corals and sponges and unbuffered organisms	Strong
Early Jurassic (183 Ma)	Coral reef crisis	Modest but selective extinction of corals and other unbuffered organisms	Strong
Cretaceous-Paleogene (65.5 Ma)	Not evident	Mass depletion of biodiversity and mass extinction, selective against buffered organisms	Weak
Paleocene–Eocene (55.8 Ma)	Coral reef crisis	Background extinction, except for benthic foraminifers	Strong

Table 2 Summary of results and assessment of OA as a proximate cause

OA, ocean acidification.

mid-latitudes (Flügel & Kiessling, 2002; Lathuilière & Marchal, 2009) and in somewhat deeper water (Kiessling *et al.*, 2009) suggesting a heat escape rather than a pH escape. Similarly, in the Paleocene–Eocene crisis the disappearance of coral reefs propagated from tropical to subtropical latitudes (Scheibner & Speijer, 2008) such that global warming is the most plausible proximate cause of the crisis. The observation that extant tropical corals are at a higher risk of extinction in shallow water than in deeper fore-reef slopes (Carpenter *et al.*, 2008) may also suggest that the impact of global warming is currently more severe than that of OA.

An alternative test could be to look for reef crises for which there is evidence for global warming but not for OA. Only the Late Devonian reef crisis may qualify. This reef crisis has no evidence for major volcanism or otherwise caused increases in pCO_2 but isotopic evidence for warming (Joachimski *et al.*, 2009). Admittedly, these tests are quite conjectural but they simultaneously suggest that global warming was the major trigger in ancient reef crises, augmented but not caused by OA.

Conclusions

Neither all mass extinction events nor all metazoan reef crises were caused by OA (Table 2). First, reef crises and mass extinction are not always coincident. Five significant metazoan reef crises and five significant depletions in biodiversity are recognized in our data but only three are both reef crises and mass extinctions. Second, only two mass extinctions concurred with geological evidence of OA. The four out of five matches between inferred OA events and metazoan reef crises is indeed impressive, although global warming associated with these events is at least as probable as a trigger as is OA. The double strike of OA and rapid warming thus seems to be really deleterious for reefs, to a degree that this can almost be seen as a general cause of reef crises.

Although an impressive number of experimental data has accumulated over last years on the sensitivity of marine organisms to OA (compiled in Fabry *et al.*, 2008; Doney *et al.*, 2009), there is a lack of data on many groups that have a rich fossil record. Data on groups such as coralline sponges, brachiopods, bryozoans and pelmatozoans are urgently needed to assess in more detail the potential of OA to play a role in ancient reef crises and mass extinctions.

Acknowledgements

We thank U. Merkel, A. Hendy, A. Miller, M. Clapham, J. Sessa for recent contributions to the dataset used in this study. This work was supported by the VolkswagenStiftung. Three anonymous reviewers provided valuable comments. This is Paleobiology Database publication #110.

References

Aberhan M, Weidemeyer S, Kiessling W, Scasso R, Medina FA (2007) Faunal evidence for reduced productivity and uncoordinated recovery in Southern Hemisphere Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary sections. *Geology*, 35, 227–230.

- Algeo TJ, Scheckler SE (1998) Terrestrial-marine teleconnections in the Devonian links between the evolution of land plants, weathering processes, and marine anoxic events. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, B353, 113–128.
- Alroy J (2008) Dynamics of origination and extinction in the marine fossil record. Proceedings of National Academy of Sciences USA, 105, 11536–11542.
- Alvarez B, Crisp MD, Driver F, Hooper JNA, Van Soest RWM (2000) Phylogenetic relationships of the family Axinellidae (Porifera: Demospongiae) using morphological and molecular data. Zoologica Scripta, 29, 169–198.
- Alvarez LW, Alvarez W, Asaro F, Michel HV (1980) Extraterrestrial cause for the Cretaceous–Tertiary extinction. Science, 208, 1095–1108.
- Anthony KRN, Kline DI, Diaz-Pulido G, Dove S, Hoegh-Guldberg O (2008) Ocean acidification causes bleaching and productivity loss in coral reef builders. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 105, 17442–17446.
- Atkinson MJ, Cuet P (2008) Possible effects of ocean acidification on coral reef biogeochemistry: topics for research. Marine Ecology-Progress Series, 373, 249–256.
- Bambach RK, Knoll AH, Sepkoski JJ (2002) Anatomical and ecological constraints on Phanerozoic animal diversity in the marine realm. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 99, 6854–6859.
- Bambach RK, Knoll AH, Wang SC (2004) Origination, extinction, and mass depletions of marine diversity. *Paleobiology*, **30**, 522–542.
- Baron-Szabo R (2008) Corals of the K/T-boundary: scleractinian corals of the suborders Dendrophylliina, Caryophylliina, Fungiina, Microsolenina, and Stylinina. Zootaxa, 1952, 1–244.
- Beerling D (2002) CO2 and the end-Triassic mass extinction. Nature, 415, 386-387.
- Beerling DJ, Brentnall SJ (2007) Numerical evaluation of mechanisms driving early Jurassic changes in global carbon cycling. *Geology*, 35, 247–250.
- Beerling DJ, Lomas MR, Gröcke DR (2002) On the nature of methane gas-hydrate dissociation during the Toarcian and Aptian oceanic anoxic events. *American Journal* of Science, **302**, 28–49.
- Bellwood DR, Hughes TP, Folke C, Nyström M (2004) Confronting the coral reef crisis. *Nature*, **429**, 827–833.
- Bergström SM, Chen X, Gutiérrez-Marco JC, Dronov A (2009) The new chronostratigraphic classification of the Ordovician System and its relations to major regional series and stages and to δ^{13} C chemostratigraphy. *Lethuia*, **42**, 97–107.
- Berner RA, Beerling DJ (2007) Volcanic degassing necessary to produce a CaCO₃ undersaturated ocean at the Triassic–Jurassic boundary. *Palaeogeography, Palaeocli*matology, *Palaeoecology*, 244, 368–373.
- Bown P (2005) Selective calcareous nannoplankton survivorship at the Cretaceous– Tertiary boundary. Geology, 33, 653–656.
- Brenchley PJ, Carden GA, Hints L et al. (2003) High-resolution stable isotope stratigraphy of upper Ordovician sequences: constraints on the timing of bioevents and environmental changes associated with mass extinction and glaciation. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, **115**, 89–104.
- Brenchley PJ, Carden GAF, Marshall JD (1995) Environmental changes associated with the "first strike" of the late Ordovician mass extinction. *Modern Geology*, 20, 69–82.
- Buggisch W (1991) The global Frasnian–Famennian 'Kellwasser Event'. Geologische Rundschau, 80, 49–72.
- Caldeira K, Wickett ME (2003) Anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH. Nature, 425, 365.
- Carpenter KE, Abrar M, Aeby G *et al.* (2008) One-third of reef-building corals face elevated extinction risk from climate change and local impacts. *Science*, **321**, 560–563.
- Chenet AL, Courtillot V, Fluteau F et al. (2009) Determination of rapid Deccan eruptions across the Cretaceous–Tertiary boundary using paleomagnetic secular variation: 2. Constraints from analysis of eight new sections and synthesis for a 3500-m-thick composite section. Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth, 114, B06103.
- Cohen AS, Coe AL (2007) The impact of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province on climate and on the Sr- and Os-isotope evolution of seawater. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology*, **244**, 374–390.
- Copper P (2001) Reefs during the multiple crises towards the Ordovician–Silurian boundary: Anticosti Island, eastern Canada, and worldwide. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, **38**, 153–171.
- D'Hondt S (2005) Consequences of the Cretaceous/Paleogene mass extinction for marine ecosystems. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 36, 295–317. De'ath G, Lough JM, Fabricius KE (2009) Declining coral calcification on the Great

Barrier Reef, Science, 323, 116-119,

de Nooijer LJ, Toyofuku T, Kitazato H (2009) Foraminifera promote calcification by elevating their intracellular pH. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 106, 15374–15378.

- Dickens GR, Oneil JR, Rea DK, Owen RM (1995) Dissociation of oceanic methane hydrate as a cause of the carbon-isotope excursion at the end of the Paleocene. *Paleoceanography*, **10**, 965–971.
- Doney SC, Fabry VJ, Feely RA, Kleypas JA (2009) Ocean acidification: the other CO₂ problem. Annual Review of Marine Science, 1, 169–192.
- Erba E, Bartolini A, Larson RL (2004) Valanginian Weissert oceanic anoxic event. Geology, 32, 149–152.
- Fabry VJ, Seibel BA, Feely RA, Orr JC (2008) Impacts of ocean acidification on marine fauna and ecosystem processes. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 65, 414–432.
- Fine M, Tchernov D (2007) Scleractinian coral species survive and recover from decalcification. *Science*, **315**, 1811.
- Flügel E, Kiessling W (2002) Patterns of Phanerozoic reef crises. In: *Phanerozoic Reef Patterns. SEPM Special Publication* 72 (eds Kiessling W, Flügel E, Golonka J), pp. 691–733. Society for Sedimentary Geology, Tulsa, OK, USA.
- Foote M (2000) Origination and extinction components of taxonomic diversity: general problems. *Paleobiology*, 26, 74–102.
- Foote M, Miller AI (2007) Principles of Paleontology. W.H. Freeman, New York.
- Gharaie MHM, Matsumoto R, Racki G, Kakuwa Y (2007) Chemostratigraphy of Frasnian–Famennian transition: possibility of methane hydrate dissociation leading to mass extinction. *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, 424, 109–125.
- Gibbs SJ, Bown PR, Sessa JA, Bralower TJ, Wilson PA (2006) Nannoplankton extinction and origination across the Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum. *Science*, **314**, 1770–1773.
- Hautmann M (2004) Effect of end-Triassic CO₂ maximum on carbonate sedimentation and marine mass extinction. *Facies*, **50**, 257–261.
- Hermoso M, Minoletti F, Le Callonnec L et al. (2009) Global and local forcing of early Toarcian seawater chemistry: a comparative study of different paleoceanographic settings (Paris and Lusitanian basins). *Paleoceanography*, 24, PA4208.
- Hesselbo SP, Gröcke DR, Jenkyns HC, Bjerrum CJ, Farrimond P, Bell HSM, Green OR (2000) Massive dissociation of gas hydrate during a Jurassic oceanic anoxic event. *Nature*, 406, 392–395.
- Higgins JA, Schrag DP (2006) Beyond methane: towards a theory for the Paleocene– Eocene thermal maximum. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 245, 523–537.
- Hoegh-Guldberg O, Mumby PJ, Hooten AJ et al. (2007) Coral reefs under rapid climate change and ocean acidification. Science, 318, 1737–1742.
- IPCC (2007) Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Jablonski D (1986) Causes and consequences of mass extinctions: a comparative approach. In: Dynamics of Extinction (ed. Elliott DK), pp. 183–229. Wiley, New York.
- Jahren AH, Arens NC, Sarmiento G, Guerrero J, Amundson R (2001) Terrestrial record of methane hydrate dissociation in the early Cretaceous. Geology, 29, 159–162.
- Joachimski MM, Breisig S, Buggisch W et al. (2009) Devonian climate and reef evolution: insights from oxygen isotopes in apatite. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 284, 599–609.
- Joachimski MM, Buggisch W (1993) Anoxic events in the late Frasnian causes of the Frasnian–Famennian faunal crisis? *Geology*, 21, 675–678.
- Johnson KG, Jackson JBC, Budd AF (2008) Caribbean reef development was independent of coral diversity over 28 million years. Science, 319, 1521–1523.
- Jokiel P, Rodgers K, Kuffner I, Andersson A, Cox E, Mackenzie F (2008) Ocean acidification and calcifying reef organisms: a mesocosm investigation. *Coral Reefs*, 27, 473–483.
- Kiessling W (2001) Phanerozoic reef trends based on the Paleoreefs database. In: The History and Sedimentology of Ancient Reef Systems (ed. Stanley GD), pp. 41–88. Plenum Press, New York.
- Kiessling W (2005) Long-term relationships between ecological stability and biodiversity in Phanerozoic reefs. Nature, 433, 410–413.
- Kiessling W (2006) Towards an unbiased estimate of fluctuations in reef abundance and volume during the Phanerozoic. *Biogeosciences*, 3, 15–27.
- Kiessling W (2008) Sampling-standardized expansion and collapse of reef building in the Phanerozoic. *Fossil Record*, **11**, 7–18.
- Kiessling W (2009) Geologic and biologic controls on the evolution of reefs. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 40, 173–192.
- Kiessling W, Aberhan M (2007) Environmental determinants of marine benthic biodiversity dynamics through Triassic–Jurassic times. *Paleobiology*, 33, 414–434.
- Kiessling W, Aberhan M, Villier L (2008) Phanerozoic trends in skeletal mineralogy driven by mass extinctions. *Nature Geoscience*, 1, 527–530.
- Kiessling W, Baron-Szabo R (2004) Extinction and recovery patterns of Scleractinian corals at the Cretaceous–Tertiary boundary. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 214, 195–223.

66 W. KIESSLING & C. SIMPSON

- Kiessling W, Flügel E (2002) Paleoreefs a database on Phanerozoic reefs. In: Phanerozoic Reef Patterns. SEPM Special Publication 72 (eds Kiessling W, Flügel E, Golonka J), pp. 77–92. Society for Sedimentary Geology, Tulsa, OK, USA.
- Kiessling W, Flügel E, Golonka J (1999) Paleoreef maps: evaluation of a comprehensive database on Phanerozoic reefs. AAPG Bulletin, 83, 1552–1587.
- Kiessling W, Flügel E, Golonka J (2000) Fluctuations in the carbonate production of Phanerozoic reefs. In: Carbonate Platform Systems: components and interactions. Geological Society Special Publication 178 (eds Insalaco E, Skelton PW, Palmer TJ), pp. 191–215. Geological Society, London.
- Kiessling W, Roniewicz E, Villier L, Leonide P, Struck U (2009) An early Hettangian coral reef in southern France: implications for the end-Triassic reef crisis. *Palaios*, 24, 657–671.
- Knoll AH, Bambach RK, Canfield DE, Grotzinger JP (1996) Comparative earth history and Late Permian mass extinction. *Science*, 273, 452–457.
- Knoll AH, Bambach RK, Payne JL, Pruss S, Fischer WW (2007) Paleophysiology and end-Permian mass extinction. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 256, 295–313.
- Kuffner IB, Andersson AJ, Jokiel PL, Rodgers KuS, Mackenzie FT (2008) Decreased abundance of crustose coralline algae due to ocean acidification. *Nature Geoscience*, 1, 114–117.
- Lathuilière B, Marchal D (2009) Extinction, survival and recovery of corals from the Triassic to Middle Jurassic time. *Terra Nova*, 21, 57–66.
- Leckie RM, Bralower TJ, Cashman R (2002) Oceanic anoxic events and plankton evolution: biotic response to tectonic forcing during the mid-Cretaceous. *Paleoceanography*, 17, 1041, doi:10.1029/2001PA000623.
- Macdougall JD (1988) Seawater strontium isotopes acid rain and the Cretaceous– Tertiary boundary. Science, 239, 485–487.
- Maier C, Hegeman J, Weinbauer MG, Gattuso JP (2009) Calcification of the cold-water coral *Lophelia pertusa*, under ambient and reduced pH. *Biogeosciences*, 6, 1671–1680.
- Marubini F, Ferrier-Pagès C, Furla P, Allemand D (2008) Coral calcification responds to seawater acidification: a working hypothesis towards a physiological mechanism. *Coral Reefs*, 27, 491–499.
- Marzoli A, Bertrand H, Knight KB et al. (2004) Synchrony of the Central Atlantic magmatic province and the Triassic–Jurassic boundary climatic and biotic crisis. *Geology*, 32, 973–976.
- Marzoli A, Renne PR, Piccirillo EM, Ernesto M, Bellieni G, Min AD (1999) Extensive 200-million-year-old continental flood basalts of the Central Atlantic Magmatic Province. Science, 284, 616–618.
- McElwain JC, Beerling DJ, Woodward FI (1999) Fossil plants and global warming at the Triassic–Jurassic boundary. *Science*, 285, 1386–1390.
- Michaelidis B, Spring A, Pörtner HO (2007) Effects of long-term acclimation to environmental hypercapnia on extracellular acid–base status and metabolic capacity in Mediterranean fish Sparus aurata. Marine Biology, 150, 1417–1429.
- Orr JC, Fabry VJ, Aumont O et al. (2005) Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. Nature, 437, 681–686.
- Panchuk K, Ridgwell A, Kump LR (2008) Sedimentary response to Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum carbon release: a model-data comparison. *Geology*, 36, 315–318.
- Pandolfi JM, Bradbury RH, Sala E et al. (2003) Global trajectories of the long-term decline of coral reef ecosystems. Science, 301, 955–958.
- Payne JL, Kump LR (2007) Evidence for recurrent Early Triassic massive volcanism from quantitative interpretation of carbon isotope fluctuations. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 256, 264–277.
- Plaziat J-C, Perrin C (1992) Multikilometer-sized reefs built by foraminifera (Solenomeris) from the early Eocene of the Pyrenean domain (S. France, N. Spain): palaeoecologic relations with coral reefs. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 96, 195–231.
- Pörtner HO (2008) Ecosystem effects of ocean acidification in times of ocean warming: a physiologist's view. Marine Ecology-Progress Series, 373, 203–217.
- Pörtner HO, Langenbuch M, Michaelidis B (2005) Synergistic effects of temperature extremes, hypoxia, and increases in CO₂ on marine animals: from earth history to global change. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans*, **110**, C09S10.
- Pospichal JJ (1994) Calcareous nannofossils at the K–T boundary, El Kef: no evidence for stepwise, gradual, or sequential extinction. *Geology*, 22, 99–102.
- Raup DM, Sepkoski JJ Jr (1982) Mass extinctions in the marine fossil record. Science, 215, 1501–1503.
- Reichow MK, Pringle MS, Al'Mukhamedov AI et al. (2009) The timing and extent of the eruption of the Siberian Traps large igneous province: implications for the end-Permian environmental crisis. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 277, 9–20.

- Riding R (2000) Microbial carbonates: the geological record of calcified bacterial-algal mats and biofilms. Sedimentology, 47, 179–214.
- Riding R, Liang L (2005) Geobiology of microbial carbonates: metazoan and seawater saturation state influences on secular trends during the Phanerozoic. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology,* 219, 101–115.
- Ries JB, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC (2009) Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO₂-induced ocean acidification. *Geology*, 37, 1131–1134.
- Roberts JM, Wheeler AJ, Freiwald A (2006) Reefs of the deep: the biology and geology of cold-water coral ecosystems. *Science*, **312**, 543–547.
- Rodolfo-Metalpa R, Martin S, Ferrier-Pagès C, Gattuso JP (2010) Response of the temperate coral *Cladocora caespitosa* to mid- and long-term exposure to *p*CO₂ and temperature levels projected for the year 2100 AD. *Biogeosciences*, 7, 289–300.
- Scheibner C, Speijer RP (2008) Decline of coral reefs during late Paleocene to early Eocene global warming. eEarth, 3, 19–26.
- Schulte P, Alegret L, Arenillas I et al. (2010) The Chicxulub asteroid impact and mass extinction at the Cretaceous–Paleogene boundary. Science, 327, 1214–1218.
- Scopelliti G, Bellanca A, Coccioni R et al. (2004) High-resolution geochemical and biotic records of the Tethyan 'Bonarelli Level' (OAE2, latest Cenomanian) from the Calabianca–Guidaloca composite section, northwestern Sicily, Italy. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 208, 293–317.
- Sepkoski JJ Jr (2002) A compendium of fossil marine animal genera. Bulletins of American Paleontology, 363, 1–563.
- Sheehan PM (2001) The Late Ordovician mass extinction. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 29, 331–364.
- Silverman J, Lazar B, Cao L, Caldeira K, Erez J (2009) Coral reefs may start dissolving when atmospheric CO₂ doubles. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, L05606.
- Smit J, Hertogen J (1980) An extraterrestrial event at the Cretaceous–Tertiary boundary. Nature, 285, 198–200.
- Smith AM (2009) Bryozoans as southern sentinels of ocean acidification: a major role for a minor phylum. Marine and Freshwater Research, 60, 475–482.
- Stoll HM, Shimizu N, Archer D, Ziveri P (2007) Coccolithophore productivity response to greenhouse event of the Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 258, 192–206.
- Sundquist ET (1985) Geological perspectives on carbon dioxide and the carbon cycle. In: The Carbon Cycle and Atmospheric CO₂: Natural Variations Archean to Present. Geophysical Monograph 32 (eds Sundquist ET, Broecker WS), pp. 5–59. American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC.
- Svensen H, Planke S, Chevallier L, Malthe-Sorenssen A, Corfu F, Jamtveit B (2007) Hydrothermal venting of greenhouse gases triggering Early Jurassic global warming. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 256, 554–566.
- Svensen H, Planke S, Malthe-Sorenssen A, Jamtveit B, Myklebust R, Eidem TR, Rey SS (2004) Release of methane from a volcanic basin as a mechanism for initial Eocene global warming. *Nature*, **429**, 542–545.
- Tarduno JA, Sliter WV, Kroenke L et al. (1991) Rapid formation of Ontong Java Plateau by Aptian mantle plume volcanism. Science, 254, 399–403.
- Thomas E (2007) Cenozoic mass extinctions in the deep sea; what disturbs the largest habitat on Earth? In: *Large Ecosystem Perturbations: Causes and Consequences* (eds Monechi S, Coccioni R, Rampino M), pp. 1–24. Geological Society of America Paper, 424, CO, USA.
- Turley CM, Roberts JM, Guinotte JM (2007) Corals in deep-water: will the unseen hand of ocean acidification destroy cold-water ecosystems? Coral Reefs, 26, 445–448.
- Veron JEN (2008) Mass extinctions and ocean acidification: biological constraints on geological dilemmas. Coral Reefs, 27, 459–472.
- Wagner PJ, Kosnik MA, Lidgard S (2006) Abundance distributions imply elevated complexity of post-Paleozoic marine ecosystems. *Science*, **314**, 1289–1292.
- Weidlich O, Kiessling W, Flügel E (2003) The Permian–Triassic boundary interval as a model for forcing marine ecosystem collapse by long-term atmospheric oxygen drop. *Geology*, **31**, 961–964.
- Widdicombe S, Spicer JI (2008) Predicting the impact of ocean acidification on benchic biodiversity: what can animal physiology tell us? *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 366, 187–197.
- Wignall PB, Benton MJ (1999) Lazarus taxa and fossil abundance at times of biotic crisis. Journal of the Geological Society (London), 156, 453–456.
- Wood HL, Spicer JI, Widdicombe S (2008) Ocean acidification may increase calcification rates, but at a cost. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 275, 1767–1773.
- Wörheide G (2008) A hypercalcified sponge with soft relatives: vaceletia is a keratose demosponge. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 47, 433–438.
- Zachos JC, Rohl U, Schellenberg SA et al. (2005) Rapid acidification of the ocean during the Paleocene–Eocene thermal maximum. *Science*, **308**, 1611–1615.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article:

Figure S1. Changes (log-return) of globally preserved reef volume in three categories (supplementing Fig. 2). Significant crises are highlighted by black dots and the boundary of the pre-crisis is indicated by a dashed line. The grey box delineates background fluctuations. Arrows indicate that the true value is below the plot margins, which is the case for most reef types after the Permian-Triassic boundary, because no non-microbial reefs are known in the first stage of the Triassic period (log return = minus infinity). O = Ordovician; S = Silurian; D = Devonian; C = Carboniferous; P = Permian; Tr = Triassic; J = Jurassic; K = Cretaceous; Pg = Pa-leogene; N = Neogene.

Figure S2. Preservation (sampling completeness) of stony corals and hypercalcifying sponges versus all other organisms through time. See Fig. 5 for additional information.

Table S1. Definition of time intervals for reef crises.

Please note: Wiley-Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.